

## NEW SITE OPTIONS

SITES	COST		ABNORMALS		SITUATION		TOTAL SCORE	
	Weight 5	Score	Weight 4	Score	Weight 3	Score		
Option 1	1	5	5	20	1	6	28	
Option 2	3	15	5	20	2	6	41	3rd
Option 3*	1	5	5	20	5	15	40	
Option 4	3	15	5	20	4	12	47	1st
Option 5**	2	10	3	12	4	12	34	
Option 6	1	5	4	16	3	9	30	
Option 7***	5	25	3	12	3	9	46	2nd
Option 8	3	15	2	8	2	6	29	
Option 9	2	10	3	12	3	9	31	
Option 10	1	5	4	16	4	12	33	
Option 11	1	5	3	12	2	6	23	

**Weighting 5 to 3. All scored 1 to 5**

\***Option 3** is the best situated, but costs of temporary accommodation are very high.

\*\***Option 5** shows a poor scoring against cost in part because the land includes the driving range on the local golf course, therefore substantial business compensation issues.

\*\*\***Option 7** is land in the control of WBC (?). This site could deliver if utilising land Option 8 for playing fields, however, overhead power lines are viewed as unacceptable.

**Abnormals** include issues like ground conditions and noise which will contribute extra delivery costs

**Situation** covers access / relationship to village / 'without prejudice' view of Planning